



APPLICATION NO.

10/099,967

P.O. BOX 19928

25944

United States Patent and Trademark Office

FILING DATE

03/19/2002

11/19/2004

7590

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	www.uspto.gov	
RST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
Matsuhisa Hosokawa	112133	5501
	FYAMINED	

RAHMJOO, MANUCHER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 11/19/2004

2676

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FIRST NAMED

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/099,967	HOSOKAWA, MATSUHISA		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Mike Rahmjoo	2676		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	nn me correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	7 August 2004.			
,—	This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und				
Disposition of Claims		• .	•	
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	=			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priorit	nents have been received. The sents have been received in periority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 2676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1- 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per claim 1 line 8 recites "Determining whether at least one attribute of the attribute information is completed to be acquired". When refereeing to attribute information using the word "complete" does not render the claim as definite and can be mistaken with attribute settings, which is not claimed herewith.

As per claim 1 line 9 recites "...resolution language...". It is not clear what "resolution language" is and how resolution can employ language as an attribute. While resolution and language are individually defined attributes; however, these terminologies combined together is indefinite.

As per claim 1 line 9 recites "...type...". It is not clear what is meant by "type" due to the fact that it is a very broad terminology.

Claims 4 and 8 have similar rejections.

Claims 2- 3, 5- 7 and 9- 13 are indefinite because they depend on indefinite antecedent claims.

Art Unit: 2676

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1- 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Havekost et al, US Patent 5,768,119, hereinafter, Havekost.

As per claims 1,4,7, and 8 Havekost teaches an acquiring device that acquires, attribute information indicating attributes of said terminal device see for example column 27 lines 19-27 through work stations 102, 104, 106 and figure 1c, and determines whether at least one of the attribute information (I/O subsystem attribute) is completed to be acquired see for example communication of I/O subsystem attributes transmission in column 7 lines 19-27, the attribute being at least one of a terminal model, resolution language (see for example column 7 lines 35-55 for the C++ programming language which examiner broadly interprets as resolution language), type (see for example column 11 lines 25-37 for the device type which examiner broadly interprets as type), presence or absence of display function (see for example figure 22 which examiner broadly interprets as display function), color display, font type, type of input device (see for example figure 1 for the keyboard), and presence or absence of audio output

Art Unit: 2676

function (see for example figure 23 for the alarm states which the examiner broadly interprets as presence (activation of alarm) or absence(deactivation of alarm)); and a selection device that selects, based on the acquired attribute information, operation information that is appropriate for said terminal device from a plurality of types of operation information stored in a predetermined storage area see for example column 7 lines 35- 67 and column 8 lines1- 30(through different controllers and CPUs) and columns 27- 28 (through different controllers and multiplexers); and a providing device that provides the selected operation information to said terminal device see for example column 19 lines 13- 40(through management subsystems) and column 22 lines 46- 65, and a program see for example column 7 lines 58- 65.

As per claims 2,5,and 9 Havekost teaches priority setting information to prompt a user of said terminal device to set the priority for each attribute see for example column 3 lines 45-50.

As per claims 3,6 and 10 Havekost teaches acquiring only the attribute information that indicates said attribute having a high priority from said terminal device see for example 34 lines 5-17.

As per claims 11- 13 Havekost teaches said attribute information indicating a plurality of attributes, a priority being set for each attribute, and said selection device selecting said operation information on the basis of said priority see for example column 34 lines 5- 17 wherein alarms is an indexed attribute, and wherein the index selects the Nth highest priority alarm in the consolidation.

Art Unit: 2676

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 08/27/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2676

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mike Rahmjoo whose telephone number is (703) 305-5658. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on (703) 308-6829. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4750.

Mike Rahmjoo

November 16, 2004

MATTHEW C. BELLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Marker C. Belle